

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 MARTIN AKERMAN,  
5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 CAESAR GARDUNO, BRETT BASLER, et  
8 al.,

9 Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01602-GMN-EJY

**ORDER**

10 Before the Court are Plaintiff's Motions for Pro Se Litigant to File Electronically and Request  
11 for 60-Day Extension to Retain Counsel. ECF Nos. 4, 5.

12 Under Local Rule IC 2-1(b), a "pro se litigant may request the court's authorization to  
13 register as a filer in a specific case." The Court finds Plaintiff's request to file electronically is moot  
14 because he filed the required Consent for Electronic Service of Documents. *See* ECF No. 6. With  
15 respect to Plaintiff's Motion seeking a 60-day Extension to Retain Counsel (ECF No. 5), the Court  
16 advises Plaintiff that he may retain counsel at any time during the proceedings. Plaintiff does not  
17 need permission of the Court to do so. The Court advises that because Plaintiff seeks to proceed *in*  
18 *forma pauperis*, his Complaint will be screened under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

19 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motions for Pro Se  
20 Litigant to File Electronically (ECF No. 4) and 60-day Extension to Retain Counsel (ECF No. 5) are  
21 DENIED as moot.

22 Dated this 4th day of September, 2024.

23  
24   
25 ELAYNA J. YOUCHAH  
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
27  
28